



Article Self-Microemulsifying Drug Delivery System to Enhance Oral Bioavailability of Berberine Hydrochloride in Rats

Xiaolan Chen^{1,†}, Haifeng Yang^{1,†}, Longyu Shi², Yujuan Mao¹, Lin Niu¹, Jing Wang¹, Haifeng Chen¹, Jiping Jia¹, Jingxuan Wang¹, Jiajie Xue¹, Yan Shen³, Chunli Zheng³, Yu Tian^{4,5,*} and Yi Zheng^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Pharmaceutics, Jiangsu Agri-Animal Husbandry Vocational College, No. 8, Fenghuang East Road, Taizhou 225300, China; 2006010154@jsahvc.edu.cn (X.C.); 2006010159@jsahvc.edu.cn (H.Y.); 2004020062@jsahvc.edu.cn (Y.M.); 2003010168@jsahvc.edu.cn (L.N.); 2014010351@jsahvc.edu.cn (J.W.); 2006020069@jsahvc.edu.cn (H.C.); 2007030029@jsahvc.edu.cn (J.J.); 202214762@jsahvc.edu.cn (J.W.); 202210863@jsahvc.edu.cn (J.X.)
- ² College of Life Sciences, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 210009, China; 2020211682@stu.cpu.edu.cn
- ³ Department of Pharmaceutics, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 210009, China; shenyan@cpu.edu.cn (Y.S.); 020041357@cpu.edu.cn (C.Z.)
- ⁴ School of Medicine, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China
- ⁵ Institute of Geriatrics (Shanghai University), Affiliated Nantong Hospital of Shanghai University (The Sixth People's Hospital of Nantong), School of Medicine, Shanghai University, Nantong 201613, China
- * Correspondence: shu_yutian@shu.edu.cn (Y.T.); 1997010030@jsahvc.edu.cn (Y.Z.);
- Tel.: +86-021-6678-6700 (Y.T.); +86-523-8635-6166 (Y.Z.)
- These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Berberine hydrochloride (BH) is a versatile bioactive compound derived from the plants of the *Berberis* genus, known for its various pharmacological effects. However, its oral bioavailability is low due to its high hydrophilicity and limited permeability. To enhance its clinical efficacy and oral bioavailability, this study designed and prepared a BH-loaded self-microemulsifying drug delivery system (BH-SMEDDS), and characterized its in vitro and in vivo properties. Firstly, the optimal formulation of BH-SMEDDS was selected using solubility evaluations, pseudo-ternary phase diagrams, and particle size analysis. The formulation containing 55% Capmul MCM, 22.5% Kolliphor RH 40, and 22.5% 1,2-propanediol was developed. BH-SMEDDS exhibited stable physicochemical properties, with an average particle size of 47.2 ± 0.10 nm and a self-emulsification time of 26.02 ± 0.24 s. Moreover, in vitro dissolution studies showed significant improvements in BH release in simulated intestinal fluid, achieving 93.1 \pm 2.3% release within 300 min. Meanwhile, BH-SMEDDS did not exhibit cytotoxic effects on the Caco-2 cells. Additionally, BH-SMEDDS presents a promising strategy for delivering BH with enhanced oral bioavailability, demonstrating significant potential for clinical application.

Keywords: SMEDDS; berberine hydrochloride; bioavailability; in vitro dissolution

1. Introduction

Berberine is a natural quaternary ammonium isoquinoline alkaloid extracted and isolated from plants of the Berberis genus, such as *Coptis chinensis* (Chinese goldthread) and *Phellodendron amurense* (Amur cork tree) [1]. In its natural state, berberine is commonly found as a chloride salt with low water solubility, belonging to the alkaloid family of protoberberine (5,6-dihydrodibenzo[a,g]quinolizinium). Berberine hydrochloride (BH) is produced by reacting berberine with hydrochloric acid, enhancing its water solubility and thereby improving its suitability for pharmaceutical formulation and application [2,3]. BH has various pharmacological effects including antidiabetic, antitumor, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and antiatherosclerotic activities [4–9]. For instance, BH is utilized in the treatment of type 2 diabetes by activating AMP-activated protein kinase, thereby enhancing



Citation: Chen, X.; Yang, H.; Shi, L.; Mao, Y.; Niu, L.; Wang, J.; Chen, H.; Jia, J.; Wang, J.; Xue, J.; et al. Self-Microemulsifying Drug Delivery System to Enhance Oral Bioavailability of Berberine Hydrochloride in Rats. *Pharmaceutics* 2024, *16*, 1116. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/pharmaceutics16091116

Academic Editors: Arvind K. Bansal and Barbara R. Conway

Received: 22 July 2024 Revised: 21 August 2024 Accepted: 22 August 2024 Published: 24 August 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). insulin sensitivity and reducing insulin resistance [5]. BH also modulates gut microbiota composition and metabolism, leveraging its antimicrobial properties against gut pathogens to potentially benefit the treatment of diarrhea and other digestive issues [7,9].

Oral administration is the preferred route due to its convenience and better patient compliance. Currently, BH is available in marketed formulations such as tablets and capsules. However, the oral administration of BH has demonstrated low bioavailability, mainly due to its strong hydrophilicity from the quaternary ammonium group, and low cell membrane permeability [10,11]. Fortunately, various formulation strategies have been explored to enhance the solubility and bioavailability of BH. The research indicates that the use of nanotechnology, such as nanoemulsions, nanoparticles and nanocrystals, appears to be an effective delivery system for improving solubility, absorption and oral bioavailability of BH [11–13].

Self-microemulsifying drug delivery systems (SMEDDS) are an ideal delivery strategy for improving the oral delivery of hydrophobic drugs [14,15]. Besides enhancing the bioavailability, SMEDDS offer other benefits such as increased solubility and dissolution rate, improved membrane permeability in the gastrointestinal tract, high drug loading efficiency, and ease of preparation [16,17]. SMEDDS consist of a drug, oil phase, surfactant, and co-surfactant. On the one hand, hydrophobic drugs can be encapsulated in solubilized microemulsions in the gastrointestinal tract, thereby enhancing their dissolution rate [18,19]. On the other hand, SMEDDS automatically form an oil-in-water (O/W) microemulsion under the digestive movements of the stomach and intestines, creating numerous emulsion droplets with particle sizes less than 100 nm. This small-sized microemulsion provides a large interfacial area, promoting gastrointestinal permeability of the incorporated drug and improving its absorption [20,21]. Therefore, SMEDDS represent a promising delivery system for transporting BH in the gastrointestinal tract, enhancing its solubility, membrane permeability, drug absorption, and ultimately, its bioavailability.

In this study, the BH-loaded SMEDDS was developed. Based on the solubilizing ability and pseudo-ternary phase diagrams, suitable oils, surfactants, and co-surfactants to prepare BH-SMEDDS were selected. The formulation was optimized using particle size and size distribution of the emulsions. Furthermore, the optimal formulation of BH-SMEDDS was characterized according to transmission electron microscopy, emulsification duration, and stability assessments. Subsequently, in vitro drug release and cytotoxicity studies were conducted. Finally, an in vivo pharmacokinetic study of the BH-SMEDDS was evaluated in rats.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents and Materials

Berberine hydrochloride was purchased from the Titan Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China, batch no. 0111043444). Berberine hydrochloride tablets were purchased from the Shanghai Xinyi Tianping Pharmaceutical Co. (Shanghai, China, batch no. 230302). Methanol, LC grade methanol, and acetonitrile were purchased from Starco High Purity Solvents Co. (Shanghai, China). Potassium dihydrogen phosphate dihydrate, OP-10, polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400), isopropyl alcohol, 1,2-propanediol, glycerol, and potassium dihydrogen phosphate dihydrate were purchased from Lingfeng Chemical Reagent Co. (Shanghai, China). Oleic acid, ethyl oleate, castor oil, and Tween 80 from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. (Shanghai, China). Glyceryl monooleate (PeceolTM), medium chain triglycerides (MCT), glyceryl monolinoleate (Maisine CC), caprylic capric glycerol (Labrasol[®]), polyethylene glycerol laurate (Gelucire[®] 44/14), diethylene glycol monoethyl ether (Transcutol[®] HP), polyethylene glycerol oleate (Labrafil M1944 CS), propylene glycol monocaprylate (Capryol 90), and lauryl alcohol-90 (Lauraglycol-90) were purchased from Gaitefosse (Saint-Priest, France). Caprylic mono/diglyceride (Capmul[®] MCM), propylene glycol mono octanoate (Capmul[®] PG8), and medium chain triglycerides (Captex 300 EP/NF) were supplied by Abitec (Janesville, WI, USA). Polyoxyethylene hydrogenated castor oil (Kolliphor[®] RH40) and polyoxyethylene 35 castor oil (Kolliphor[®] EL) were purchased from BASF AG (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) Heparin sodium was obtained from Yuan Ye Biotechnology Co. (Shanghai, China). Palmatine hydrochloride was purchased from Solebrite Technology Co. (Beijing, China, batch no. 2642332). All other chemicals used were of analytic grade. The human colon cancer cell line Caco-2 (ATCC number: HTB37) was purchased from the American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA).

2.2. Animals

Male Sprague Dawley (SD) rats weighing 200 ± 20 g were purchased from the Qinglongshan Laboratory Animal Company (Nanjing, China). All rats were housed under strictly controlled ambient temperature (23 ± 1 °C, RH 20%) with artificial illumination (a 12 h light on/off cycle). This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the China Pharmaceutical University (20230510).

2.3. Determination of Solubility and Oil-Water Partition Coefficient (Portu) of BH

The equilibrium solubility at various pH conditions and $P_{o/w}$ in simulated gastric and intestinal fluid conditions were determined. To establish various pH conditions, solutions of hydrochloric acid at a pH of 1.2, a pH of 2.0, a pH of 3.0, acetate buffer at pH 4.0, and phosphate buffer at a pH of 5.0 and a pH of 6.8 were prepared following pharmacopoeia guidelines. An excess amount of BH was added to each cap vial containing the corresponding solution. The suspension was thoroughly mixed and maintained at 100 rpm and 37 °C for 48 h until reaching equilibrium. Subsequently, the mixture underwent centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 30 min, followed by methanol dilution for quantitative analysis using a UV spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shanghai Jinghua Instrument Ltd., Shanghai, China) at 350 nm.

The $P_{o/w}$ of BH at different pH conditions was assessed by the shake-flask method [22]. A precise amount of 20 mg of BH was weighed and dissolved in water-saturated *n*-octanol to obtain a 200 µg/mL of BH *n*-octanol solution. Subsequently, 8 mL of *n*-octanol saturated solutions with pH values of 1.2 or 6.8 were mixed with 1 mL of BH *n*-octanol solution. These mixtures were further shaken with a speed of 100 rpm at 37 °C for 48 h to obtain equilibrium. After centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 20 min, the water phase and *n*-octanol phase of samples were separated, and the drug concentrations in each phase (Co for *n*-octanol phase and C_w for aqueous phase) were promptly determined using a UV spectrophotometer at 350 nm. The $P_{o/w}$ was calculated according to Formula (1), as follows:

$$P_{o/w} = \frac{C_0 V_W}{C_W V_0} \tag{1}$$

where C_w and C_0 represent the BH concentration in aqueous phase and *n*-octanol phase, respectively, at equilibrium; meanwhile, V_w and V_0 represent the volume of aqueous phase and *n*-octanol phase, respectively.

2.4. Development of BH-SMEDDS Formulation

2.4.1. Determination of the Solubility of BH in Various Excipients

The equilibrium solubility of BH in various surfactants, co-surfactants, and oils was determined. The excess amount of BH was added to different oils (oleic acid, ethyl oleate, castor oil, Captex 300 EP/NF, Peceol, MCT, Maisine[™] 35-1, Capmul MCM, Capmul PG 8, Labrafil M 1944 CS, Capryol 90, Lauraglycol-90), surfactant (Tween 80, Kolliphor RH 40, Kolliphor EL, Labrasol, Gelucire 44/14, and OP-10), and co-surfactant (Transcutol HP, PEG 400, isopropanol, propanetriol, and 1,2-propanediol). The mixture was subsequently vortexed and gently shaken at 100 rpm/37 °C (LY20-211C Constant Temperature Shaker, Longyue Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) for 48 h until equilibrium was reached. Then, the mixture was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 30 min (H1750R High Speed Freezer Centrifuge, Beckman Coulter Co., Ltd., Brea, CA, USA), and the supernatant was diluted with methanol. The absorbance of BH was measured at 350 nm using a UV spectrophotometer.

2.4.2. Construction of Pseudo-Ternary Phase Diagrams

The pseudo-ternary phase diagrams (PTPDs) were utilized to optimize and determine the stable phase range intended for mixing to create an SMEDDS. The optimal formulation of SMEDDS was indicated by the largest stable microemulsion area, determined by plotting the percentages of oil, water, and surfactant [23]. Various ratios of oil phases, surfactant, and co-surfactant were combined and vortexed to obtain transparent solutions. Then, 500 μ L of these mixtures were slowly titrated into 100 mL of water under magnetic stirring (100 rpm) at 37 °C. The formation of the microemulsion (ME) was determined through visual inspection, whereby only transparent or slightly yellowish dispersions with a singlephase transparent fluid system were considered as microemulsions. Conversely, turbid dispersions were classified as coarse emulsions. Based on these observations, the ME region was then delineated on the ternary phase diagram.

2.4.3. Preparation of the BH-SMEDDS

According to the results of the PTPDs, Capmul MCM, Kolliphor RH 40, and 1,2propanediol were selected as auxiliary materials for BH loading and subsequent characterization. Afterward, BH was dissolved in a mixture of surfactant and co-surfactant (Kolliphor RH 40 and 1,2-propanediol) and the dispersion was shaken at 50 °C for 5 min. Following this, the oil phase (Capmul MCM) was added to the mixture and shaken for another 30 min at 50 °C until the system was stable and uniform. Finally, a clear BH-SMEDDS dispersion was obtained.

2.5. Characterization of BH-SMEDDS

The optimal BH-SMEDDS was diluted 50 times with distilled water at 37 °C. The particle size and polydispersity index were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS, ZetaPlus Analyzer, Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, Nashua, NH, USA) at 25 °C. All samples were measured in triplicates.

The morphology of the BH-SMEDDS and blank SMEDDS was observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, HT-7700, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). A drop of diluted BH-SMEDDS suspension was deposited on a film-coated copper grid and stained with 2% (w/v) phosphotungstic acid for 30 s. After being air-dried at room temperature, the morphology of the BH-SMEDDS was observed by TEM.

To determine the emulsification duration of BH-SMEDDS, 0.5 g of BH-SMEDDS was rapidly injected into 50 mL of distilled water while stirring continuously at 100 rpm/37 °C. The emulsification duration, defined as the time taken until a visibly clear, homogeneous, and emulsified blend was achieved, was evaluated.

2.6. Stability Studies of BH-SMEDDS

To evaluate the stability of BH-SMEDDS after centrifugation, 200 μ L of BH-SMEDDS was successively dispersed in 30 mL of deionized water at 37 °C under gentle stirring at 100 rpm. After that, the mixture underwent centrifugation at speeds of 4000, 8000, and 12,000 rpm for 20 min, with observations made to determine if the solution exhibited delamination following centrifugation.

To assess the stability of BH-SMEDDS following dilution, 200 μ L of BH SMEDDS was dispersed and diluted 200, 300, and 500 times using varying volumes of deionized water. Subsequently, the resulting mixtures were uniformly emulsified through gentle stirring at 100 rpm at 37 °C. The appearance of the self-emulsified solution was observed, while the self-emulsification time, particle size, and PDI were measured to explore the impact of different dilution times on the stability of BH-SMEDDS.

2.7. In Vitro Dissolution Study

The in vitro dissolution study of BH-SMEDDS was performed by the paddle method in accordance with the Chinese Pharmacopeia 2015 using a dissolution tester set at a rotation speed of 100 rpm (UDT-8186, Logan Instruments Corp, Somerset, NJ, USA). To mimic the

conditions of the gastrointestinal tract, simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2) and intestinal fluid (pH 6.8) served as the dissolution media. In brief, 2 mL of samples containing 5 mg of BH were withdrawn from 300 mL of release medium at pre-determined time points of 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, and 300 min with temperature of (37 ± 0.5) °C. Immediately after collection, an equal volume of dissolution medium at the same temperature was replenished. The collected sample solution was filtered through 0.45 µm microporous filter membrane and diluted with methanol. The concentration of BH was determined by UV spectrophotometry. Additionally, the commercially available BH tablets were utilized as the comparative control. The cumulative dissolution percentage of BH in simulated gastric fluid and intestinal fluid was calculated according to Equation (2), and the cumulative dissolution curves were plotted, as follows:

Accumulative dissolution percentage (%) =
$$\begin{bmatrix} C_n \times V + (C_1 + C_2 + \dots + C_{n-1}) \times V_1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

Among them, C_n is the concentration of the sample taken at each time point; V is the volume of dissolution medium and V_1 is the volume of the sample taken at each time point; and m is the amount of drug in the self-nanoemulsion.

2.8. In Vitro Cytotoxicity Study

The cytotoxicity of BH-SMEDDS to Caco-2 cells was assessed using the cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay [24]. Initially, Caco-2 cells were seeded on a 96-well cell plate and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 24 h. Following this, the complete medium was replaced with medium containing different concentrations of BH-SMEDDS (50, 100, 200, 400, 600 μ g/mL) or blank SMEDDS (equivalent to the same concentration of BH-SMEDDS). Cells without any treatment were served as the negative control group, while the culture medium was used as the blank control group. After incubating for 24 h, 10 μ L of CCK8 solution was added to each well and incubated for an additional 4 h at 37 °C. The absorbance of each well was then measured at 450 nm using a BioTek microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). Cell viability was calculated using the following Formula (3):

$$Cellviability(\%) = \frac{A_{sample} - A_{blank}}{A_{control} - A_{blank}} \times 100\%$$
(3)

where A_{sample} and $A_{control}$ are the mean absorbance value of tested groups and control groups, respectively, and A_{blank} is the absorbance value of the blank medium.

2.9. In Vivo Pharmacokinetic Studies

Twelve healthy male SD rats of SPF-grade were randomly divided into two groups and underwent a 12 h fasting period with free access to water prior to the experiment. Commercially available BH tablets were employed as the control formulation in this study. The rats received oral administrations of BH-SMEDDS or BH tablets, each at a BH dosage of 100 mg/kg. An aliquot of 300–500 μ L of blood was drawn from the ophthalmic veins of the rats at 5, 15, 30, and 45 min, as well as 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h after oral administration. Blood samples were collected in sodium heparin anticoagulant tubes and subsequently centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. The resulting supernatant plasma was harvested and stored at -20 °C for further analysis.

To determine the concentration of BH in the plasma samples, 200 μ L of each of the samples was transferred to a centrifugal tube and mixed with 600 μ L of methanol. After vortexing for 5 min and centrifuging at 7000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was collected and dried under nitrogen at 37 °C. Subsequently, the residue was dissolved in 100 μ L methanol. The resulting solution was vortexed for 5 min and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min, and 20 μ L of the supernatant was analyzed by HPLC system (SIL-2A, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) at a wavelength of 345 nm. The mobile phase comprised acetonitrile: potassium dihydrogen phosphate (0.033 mol/mL) (33.3:66.6, v/v) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The chromatographic separations were performed on an ODS

C18 column (4.6 mm \times 150 mm, 5 µm) and the column was maintained at 28 °C. Specificity was confirmed by ensuring no interference peak appeared at the retention time of BH when analyzing blank rat plasma spiked with amantadine hydrochloride as the internal standard. Standard solutions for HPLC analysis of BH were prepared by spiking BH stock solutions into blank rat plasma. Following dilution, the concentrations of BH in rat plasma were 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.2 µg/mL, respectively (y = 5.0187X – 0.1972, R² = 0.9990). Validation of the HPLC methods included assessing parameters such as linearity, recovery, and the relative standard deviation of inter-day and intra-day precision (Figures S1–S3, Tables S1 and S2).

2.10. Statistical Analysis

The pharmacokinetic parameters, including the area under the plasma concentration– time curve (AUC), the maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}), and the time to reach maximum plasma concentration (T_{max}) were calculated by the PK Solver 2.0 software. All experimental data were expressed as the mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis was performed using a standard Student's *t*-test (comparing only two individual groups) in SPSS software (version 27.0) with significance set at *p* < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Equilibrium Solubility and Oil-Water Partition Coefficient of BH

The equilibrium solubility of BH was assessed in media with varying pH levels. The results revealed significant variability across pH environments, with a general tendency to rise with the increasing pH levels (Figure 1A). Briefly, BH was sparingly soluble at a pH of 1.2 and a pH of 2.0. A notable increase in solubility occurred at a pH of 3.0 (2.03 ± 0.04 mg/mL), followed by a slower increase at a pH of 4.0 and 5.0, with concentrations of 2.26 ± 0.02 mg/mL and 2.34 ± 0.02 mg/mL, respectively. Maximum solubility was observed at a pH of 6.8, reaching 2.78 ± 0.01 mg/mL. It was suggested that BH solubility was consistently lower across all pH conditions, showing a strong pH dependency. Moreover, solubility in the higher pH intestinal environment was significantly greater than in the lower pH gastric environment after oral administration.



Figure 1. (**A**) Equilibrium solubility of BH in various pH solutions (n = 3); (**B**) the $P_{o/w}$ of BH in 1.2 and 6.8 pH solutions (n = 3).

The $P_{o/w}$ of BH at a pH of 1.2 and 6.8 are depicted in Figure 1B. It is evident that the $P_{o/w}$ of BH demonstrated a tendency to decrease with increasing medium pH, contrasting with the trend observed in its equilibrium solubility. BH displayed a LogP value ranging between 0 and 1 in a pH 1.2 solution, while at a pH of 6.8, the LogP value dropped below 0, indicating a decrease in BH's lipophilicity as the pH increases. Typically, a LogP value exceeding 1 suggests high drug lipophilicity, facilitating easy absorption through cell membranes. However, as shown in Figure 1B, BH's lipophilicity in the gastrointestinal tract is low, corresponding to poor cell membrane permeability. Coupled with its poor solubility at these pH levels, BH is prone to precipitation in the stomach, while its solubility in the

intestines increases, though it remains insufficient for enhanced solubility. Consequently, the combination of poor intestinal membrane permeability and low solubility contributes to the low bioavailability of BH and hindered absorption of BH in the gastrointestinal tract. Thus, enhancing the lipophilicity and solubility of BH becomes imperative to address its poor oral bioavailability.

3.2. Solubility Studies of BH in Various Excipients

Insoluble drugs encapsulated within SMEDDS should undergo complete dissolution, and excipients with favorable drug solubility should be prioritized for SMEDDS development. Therefore, various oils, surfactants, and cosurfactants were assessed for their ability to solubilize BH (Figure 2). Initially, oils serve as drug carriers and significantly enhance drug solubility. Notably, Peceol, Maisine TM35-1, Capmul MCM, and Capmul PG8 demonstrated considerable potential in enhancing BH solubility, achieving $8.76 \pm 0.20 \text{ mg/g}$, $6.05 \pm 0.22 \text{ mg/g}$, $12.95 \pm 0.35 \text{ mg/g}$, and $2.49 \pm 0.10 \text{ mg/g}$, respectively, compared to less than 2 mg/g in other groups. Furthermore, surfactants diminish the interfacial tension between oil and water, facilitating the formation of minute droplets and enhancing microemulsion stability. Gelucire 44/14, OP-10, and Kolliphor RH40 displayed elevated BH solubility; thus, they were chosen for further investigation. Co-surfactants augment the interface fluidity between oil and water, decreasing interfacial tension and fostering the formation of smaller droplets. Evidently, PEG400, 1,2-propanediol, and glycerol exhibited remarkable solubilization capacity for BH, all surpassing 15 mg/g, hence they were identified as potential cosurfactants for BH-SMEDDS formulation.



Figure 2. Solubility of BH in common oils, surfactants and co-surfactants (mean \pm SD, n = 3). SA: surfactant, Co-SA: co-surfactant.

Based on the results of the compatibility tests (Table 1), four formulations of the SMEDDS were selected for further pseudo-ternary phase diagram construction. These formulations included Capmul MCM or Capmul PG8 as oil phases, Kolliphor RH 40 as surfactant, and 1,2-propanediol or PEG 400 as co-surfactants.

Preced/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400<1 min	Compatibility	Self-Emulsifying	Appearance	Nanoemulsion
Peccel/Kolliphor RH 40/PEC400<1 min	Computibility	Time	rippeurunee	Formation
Pececi/Kolliphor RH 40/Clycerin>1 minENoPececol/Kolliphor RH 40/Clycerin<1 min	Peceol/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400	<1 min	D	No
Peceol/Kolliphor RH 40/1,2-propanediol<1 minDNoPeceol/Labrasol/REG400>1 minENoPeceol/Labrasol/I2-propanediol>1 minENoPeceol/Celucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minDNoPeceol/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 min	Peceol/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin	>1 min	E	No
Peccel/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoPeccel/Labrasol/Glycerin<1 min	Peceol/Kolliphor RH 40/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	D	No
Peccol/Labrasol/Clycerin<1 minDNoPeccol/Labrasol/L2-propanediol>1 minENoPeccol/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minDNoPeccol/Gelucire 44/14/2-propanediol<1 min	Peceol/Labrasol/PEG400	>1 min	E	No
Peccel/Labrasol/1.2-propanediol>1 minENoPeccel/Celucire 44/14/PEC400>1 minDNoPeccel/Celucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 min	Peceol/Labrasol/Glycerin	<1 min	D	No
Peccel/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minENoPeccel/Gelucire 44/14/12-propanediol1 minDNoPeccel/OP-14/PEG400<1 min	Peceol/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol	>1 min	Е	No
Peccel/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 minDNoPeccel/Gelucire 44/14/12-propanediol<1 min	Peceol/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400	>1 min	Е	No
Pecceol/Gelucire 44/14/1,2-propanediol<1 minDNoPecceol/OP-14/12-propanediol<1 min	Peceol/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin	<1 min	D	No
Peccel/OP-14/PEG400<1 minCNoPeccel/OP-14/L3_propanediol<1 min	Peceol/Gelucire 44/14/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	D	No
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Peceol/OP-14/PEG400	<1 min	С	No
Peccel/OP-14/1,2 propanediol<1 minCNoMaisine CC/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Kolliphor RH 40/Clycerin<1 min	Peceol/OP-14/Glycerin	<1 min	D	No
Maisine CC/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin1 minDYes40/1,2-propanediol1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/PEG4001 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/I,2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Clabrasol/I,2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Celucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Celucire 44/14/FEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Celucire 44/14/Glycerin>1 minENoMaisine CC/OP-14/FEG400<1 min	Peceol/OP-14/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	С	No
Maisine CC/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin<1 minDYesMaisine CC/Kolliphor RH>1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/J2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/J2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin>1 minENoMaisine CC/OP-14/PEG400<1 min	Maisine CC/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400	>1 min	Е	No
Maisine CC/Kolliphor RH 40/1,2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/PEC400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/Alphanol (PEC400)>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/PEC400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/PEC400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/PEC400>1 minENoMaisine CC/OP-14/PEG400<1 min	Maisine CC/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin	<1 min	D	Yes
40/1,2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/Glycerin>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire $44/14/PEG400$ >1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire $44/14/PEG400$ >1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire $44/14/PEG400$ >1 minENoMaisine CC/Oe1u/PEG400<1 min	Maisine CC/Kolliphor RH	× 1	Б	NL
Maisine CC/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/Clycerin>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/Clycerin>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire>1 minENo44/14/1,2-propanediol<1 min	40/1,2-propanediol	>1 min	E	INO
Maisine CC/Labrasol/IJ,2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Celucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/OP-14/I,PEG400<1 min	Maisine CC/Labrasol/PEG400	>1 min	Е	No
Maisine CC/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire>1 minDNoMaisine CC/OP-14/PEG400<1 min	Maisine CC/Labrasol/Glycerin	>1 min	Е	No
Maisine CC/Gelucire $44/14/PEG400$ >1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire $44/14/Glycerin$ >1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire>1 minENo $44/14/12$ -propanediol<1 min	Maisine CC/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol	>1 min	Е	No
Maisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin>1 minENoMaisine CC/Gelucire>1 minENo44/14/1,2-propanediol<1 min	Maisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400	>1 min	Е	No
Maisine CC/Gelucire>1 minENo $44/14/1,2$ -propanediol<1 min	Maisine CC/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin	>1 min	Е	No
44/14/12-propanediol>1 minENoMaisine CC/OP-14/PEG400<1 min	Maisine CC/Gelucire	. 1	F	NT
Maisine $CC/OP-14/PEG400$ <1 minDNoMaisine $CC/OP-14/Glycerin$ <1 min	44/14/1,2-propanediol	>1 min	E	No
Maisine CC/OP-14/Glycerin<1 minDNoMaisine CC/OP-14/1,2-propanediol<1 min	Maisine CC/OP-14/PEG400	<1 min	D	No
Maisine CC/OP-14/1,2-propanediol<1 minDNoCapmul MCM/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400<1 min	Maisine CC/OP-14/Glycerin	<1 min	D	No
Capmul MCM/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400<1 minAYesCapmul MCM/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin<1 min	Maisine CC/OP-14/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	D	No
$\begin{array}{ccc} Capmul MCM/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin <1 min E No \\ Capmul MCM/Kolliphor RH <1 min A Yes \\ 40/1,2-propanediol <1 min E No \\ Capmul MCM/Labrasol/PEG400 >1 min E No \\ Capmul MCM/Labrasol/Glycerin >1 min E No \\ Capmul MCM/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol >1 min E No \\ Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400 <1 min C No \\ Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul MCM/Gelucire <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400 <1 min A Yes \\ Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin <1 min E No \\ Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin <1 min E No \\ Capmul PG8/Colliphor RH 40/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Colliphor RH 40/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Colliphor RH 40/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Colliphor RH 40/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Celucire 44/14/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Celucire 44/14/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Celucire 44/14/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Celucire 44/14/FEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Celucire 44/14/FEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/FEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Celucire 44/14/FEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmu$	Capmul MCM/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400	<1 min	А	Yes
Capmul MCM/Kolliphor RH 40/1,2-propanediol<1 minAYesCapmul MCM/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Labrasol/Glycerin>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Labrasol/J.2-propanediol>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400<1 min	Capmul MCM/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin	<1 min	Е	No
40/1,2-propanediol<1 minAYesCapmul MCM/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Labrasol/I,2-propanediol>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400<1 min	Capmul MCM/Kolliphor RH			
Capmul MCM/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Labrasol/Glycerin>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400<1 min	40/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	A	Yes
$\begin{array}{c} Capmul MCM/Labrasol/Glycerin >1 min E No \\ Capmul MCM/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol >1 min E No \\ Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400 <1 min C No \\ Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul MCM/OP-14/PEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul MCM/OP-14/PEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400 <1 min A Yes \\ Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin <1 min E No \\ Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin >1 min E No \\ Capmul PG8/Labrasol/PEG400 >1 min E No \\ Capmul PG8/Labrasol/Jl_2-propanediol <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Labrasol/Glycerin >1 min E No \\ Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/Olycerin <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/Olycerin >1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/OP-14/PEG400 <1 min D No \\ Capmul PG8/OP$	Capmul MCM/Labrasol/PEG400	>1 min	Е	No
Capmul MCM/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol>1 minENoCapmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400<1 min	Capmul MCM/Labrasol/Glycerin	>1 min	Е	No
Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400<1 minCNoCapmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 min	Capmul MCM/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol	>1 min	Е	No
Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 minDNoCapmul MCM/Gelucire<1 min	Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400	<1 min	С	No
Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/1,2-propanediol<1 minDNoCapmul MCM/OP-14/PEG400<1 min	Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin	<1 min	D	No
44/14/1,2-propanediol<1 minDNoCapmul MCM/OP-14/PEG400<1 min	Capmul MCM/Gelucire	4	D	NT
Capmul MCM/OP-14/PEG400<1 minDNoCapmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 min	44/14/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	D	No
Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 minDNoCapmul MCM/Gelucire<1 min	Capmul MCM/OP-14/PEG400	<1 min	D	No
Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/1,2-propanediol<1 minDNoCapmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400<1 min	Capmul MCM/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin	<1 min	D	No
44/14/12-propanediol<1 minDNoCapmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400<1 min	Capmul MCM/Gelucire	4	D	NT
Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400<1 minAYesCapmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin<1 min	44/14/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	D	No
Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin<1 minENoCapmul PG8/Kolliphor RH<1 min	Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/PEG400	<1 min	А	Yes
Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/1,2-propanediol<1 minAYesCapmul PG8/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoCapmul PG8/Labrasol/Glycerin>1 minENoCapmul PG8/Labrasol/Glycerin>1 minDNoCapmul PG8/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol<1 min	Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH 40/Glycerin	<1 min	Е	No
40/1,2-propanediol<1 minAYesCapmul PG8/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoCapmul PG8/Labrasol/Glycerin>1 minENoCapmul PG8/Labrasol/I,2-propanediol<1 min	Capmul PG8/Kolliphor RH			
Capmul PG8/Labrasol/PEG400>1 minENoCapmul PG8/Labrasol/Glycerin>1 minENoCapmul PG8/Labrasol/I,2-propanediol<1 min	40/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	A	Yes
Capmul PG8/Labrasol/Glycerin>1 minENoCapmul PG8/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol<1 min	Capmul PG8/Labrasol/PEG400	>1 min	Е	No
Capmul PG8/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol<1 minDNoCapmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400<1 min	Capmul PG8/Labrasol/Glycerin	>1 min	Е	No
Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400<1 minCNoCapmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 min	Capmul PG8/Labrasol/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	D	No
Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin<1 minDNoCapmul PG8/Gelucire<1 min	Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/PEG400	<1 min	С	No
Capmul PG8/Gelucire<1 minDNo44/14/1,2-propanediol<1 min	Capmul PG8/Gelucire 44/14/Glycerin	<1 min	D	No
44/14/1,2-propanediol<1 minDNoCapmul PG8/OP-14/PEG400<1 min	Capmul PG8/Gelucire		-	- 10
Capmul PG8/OP-14/PEG400<1 minCNoCapmul PG8/OP-14/Glycerin>1 minENoCapmul PG8/OP-14/1 2-propagediol<1 min	44/14/1,2-propanediol	<1 min	D	No
Capmul PG8/OP-14/1 2-propagedial <1 min D No	Capmul PG8/OP-14/PEG400	<1 min	С	No
Capmul PG8/OP-14/1 2-propagediol <1 min D No	Capmul PG8/OP-14/Glycerin	>1 min	Ē	No
	Capmul PG8/OP-14/1.2-propanediol	<1 min	D	No

 Table 1. Compatibility test of single oil phase, surfactant, and co-surfactant.

3.3. Pseudo-Ternary Phase Diagrams

Pseudo-ternary phase diagrams were used to analyze the phase behavior of SMEDDS, which consists of the following three components: oil, surfactant, and co-surfactant, aiding in the identification of the optimal formulation (Figure 3). Following compatibility studies, Kolliphor RH 40, with a hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB) value ranging from 14 to 16, was chosen as the surfactant. Investigations ensued regarding the self-emulsifying capabilities of Kolliphor RH 40 in combination with either 1,2-propanediol or PEG 400. Both 1,2-propanediol (HLB 10.5) and PEG 400 (HLB 12.25) were assessed as co-surfactants. Microemulsion regions are indicated by the shaded areas on the phase diagrams, while the unshaded portions represent the turbid regions. A larger microemulsion area suggests superior self-microemulsifying performance. As observed in Figure 3A,B when Capmul MCM served as the oil, the larger microemulsion region was exhibited; thus, Capmul MCM was selected as the oil. However, no significant difference in self-emulsifying performance was observed between 1,2-propanediol and PEG 400 as co-surfactants in the absence of BH. Conversely, upon incorporating BH into SMEDDS, a larger microemulsion area was noted with 1,2-propanediol as the co-surfactant compared to PEG 400 (Figure 3E,F). This led to the selection of 1,2-propanediol as the co-surfactant. Consequently, the combination of Capmul MCM, Kolliphor RH 40, and 1,2-propanediol was selected for the development of BH-SMEDDS.



Figure 3. Cont.



Figure 3. Pseudo-ternary phase diagrams (**A**–**D**) featuring various oils (Capmul MCM or Capmul PG8), a surfactant (Kolliphor RH 40), and co-surfactants (1,2-propanediol or PEG 400) without BH present. (**E**,**F**) Pseudo-ternary phase diagrams composed of Capmul MCM, Kolliphor RH 40, and either PEG 400 or 1,2-propanediol with the loading of BH.

3.4. Formulation Optimization of BH-SMEDDS

The investigations further delved into the particle size and polydispersity index (PDI) of emulsions, focusing on their significance in determining the optimal ratio of oil, surfactant, and co-surfactant. Figure 4A illustrates a discernible trend wherein the particle size initially decreases and then increases with the increase in the oil phase proportion. At 55% oil phase concentration, the smallest particle size was observed, accompanied by a polydispersity index (PDI) below 0.3. Subsequent increments in the oil phase proportion led to a gradual decrease in PDI. Hence, to ensure both minimal particle size and a PDI below 0.3, the ideal oil phase concentration for self-microemulsion formulation was determined to be 55%. When the concentration of emulsifier is low, there are fewer molecules adsorbed at the interface between the organic and aqueous phases, leading to a weaker liquid interfacial film and an unstable emulsion. As the emulsifier concentration increases to a certain level, the interfacial film forms from closely packed, oriented adsorbed molecules, resulting in a stronger interfacial film and a more stable emulsion. However, if the limonene concentration becomes too high, the droplet size increases due to Ostwald ripening [25].



Figure 4. The effect of (**A**) oil concentration and (**B**) Km on the mean particle size and PDI of BH-SMEDDS.

As depicted in Figure 4B, when varying the weight ratio of surfactant to co-surfactant (Km) within the range of 1:2 to 8:1, there was a gradual increase in both the particle size and the PDI of the microemulsion with increasing Km. The smallest particle size of the emulsion was observed at Km 1, measuring (38.9 ± 0.1) nm, indicating a more stable emulsion system

post self-microemulsification. Ultimately, it was determined that the optimal proportion of emulsifier in the self-microemulsion formulation was 22.5%, with an equivalent proportion of co-emulsifier at 22.5%. The co-emulsifier can affect the surface activity and HLB of the emulsifier. An excessive or insufficient amount of co-emulsifier will impact the formation of the droplets [17]. Therefore, the optimal formulation for BH-SMEDDS was determined as 55% oil phase (Capmul MCM), 22.5% surfactant (Kolliphor RH 40), and 22.5% co-surfactant (1,2-propanediol).

The drug content also affects the stability of the droplets. If the dosage is excessive, drug leakage may occur. As indicated in Table 2, drug precipitation was observed with a BH dosage of 22 mg/g after 48 h of storage at room temperature. At BH dosages equal to or below 20 mg/g, no drug precipitation was observed within the microemulsion. Hence, it was concluded that the optimal BH dosage should be set at 20 mg/g.

Drug Content (mg/g)	Crystallization	Particle Size (nm)	PDI	Dissociation after Centrifugation
14	No	54.5 ± 0.4	0.240 ± 0.003	No
16	No	48.0 ± 0.5	0.257 ± 0.008	No
18	No	49.9 ± 0.2	0.257 ± 0.014	No
20	No	50.0 ± 0.4	0.262 ± 0.006	No
22	Yes	37.2 ± 0.2	0.110 ± 0.090	Yes

Table 2. The effects of drug loading of BH on the stability and particle size (n = 3).

3.5. Characterization of BH-SMEDDS

The blank SMEDDS and BH-SMEDDS solutions both remained clear and transparent. The blank SMEDDS displayed a faint blue glow; meanwhile, the BH-SMEDDS, due to the yellow hue of BH, exhibited a slightly milky, yellowish light post self-microemulsion (Figure 5B,E). The average particle sizes of blank SMEDDS and BH-SMEDDS were 38.9 ± 0.10 nm and 47.2 ± 0.10 nm, respectively, with polydispersity indexes (PDI) of 0.198 ± 0.003 and 0.262 ± 0.006 . Figure 5C,F illustrate the morphology of blank SMEDDS and BH-SMEDDS, showing uniformly spherical microemulsion droplets of approximately 50 nm. Additionally, the emulsification time in water for blank SMEDDS and BH-SMEDDS was examined. Previous studies have indicated that a duration of 2 min serves as a benchmark for assessing the emulsification process [26]. It was also suggested that a moderate stirring speed would quickly mix the oil and water (with the appropriate ratio), leading to effective emulsification and rapid completion of the process [27]. In our study, the emulsification time in water for blank SMEDDS was recorded as 20.37 ± 0.23 s and 26.02 ± 0.24 s, respectively, indicating efficient emulsification and suggesting that the incorporation of BH did not notably affect the emulsification duration.

3.6. Stability Studies

As indicated in Table 3, there were no notable alterations in particle size or visual characteristics of BH-SMEDDS even after dilution ranging from 200 to 500 times. It maintained its pale yellow, transparent liquid state without any signs of cloudiness or separation. These findings suggest that the formulated BH-SMEDDS exhibits favorable stability.



Figure 5. (**A**) Appearance of blank SMEDDS and (**B**) microemulsion after self-microemulsifying. (**C**) TEM image of blank SMEDDS (scale bar = 40 nm). (**D**) Appearance of BH-SMEDDS and (**E**) microemulsion after self-microemulsifying. (**F**) TEM image of BH-SMEDDS (scale bar = 40 nm).

Time (s)	(nm)	PDI	Appearance
22.00 ± 0.63	42.3 ± 0.4	0.113 ± 0.048	Translucent/light yellow opalescence
20.04 ± 0.21	43.0 ± 0.2	0.123 ± 0.023	Translucent/light yellow opalescence
18.09 ± 0.37	44.8 ± 1.2	0.133 ± 0.064	Translucent/light yellow opalescence
	Time (s) 3 22.00 ± 0.63 20.04 ± 0.21 18.09 ± 0.37 3	Time (s) (nm) 22.00 ± 0.63 42.3 ± 0.4 20.04 ± 0.21 43.0 ± 0.2 18.09 ± 0.37 44.8 ± 1.2	Time (s)(nm)PDI 22.00 ± 0.63 42.3 ± 0.4 0.113 ± 0.048 20.04 ± 0.21 43.0 ± 0.2 0.123 ± 0.023 18.09 ± 0.37 44.8 ± 1.2 0.133 ± 0.064

Table 3. The effects of different dilution ratios on BH-SMEDDS	(n = 3	5).
---	--------	-----

3.7. In Vitro Dissolution Studies

The in vitro release of BH-SMEDDS was assessed in simulated gastric fluid and intestinal fluid. As shown in Figure 6A, in the simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2), BH-SMEDDS exhibited rapid release within the initial 60 min, followed by a stable release, ultimately reaching only $45.1 \pm 1.7\%$ release of BH within 300 min. Conversely, in the simulated intestinal fluid, the release of BH-SMEDDS showed considerable enhancement, with approximately 70% drug release observed within the first 15 min, and eventually reaching $93.1 \pm 2.3\%$ release within 300 min. When compared to commercially available tablets, BH-SMEDDS demonstrated significantly higher dissolution rates in both simulated gastric and intestinal fluids. This result suggests that the formulation of BH as a self-microemulsion markedly improved drug dissolution in simulated digestive fluids. This improvement aligns with the trend observed in the commercially available formulation in both simulated gastric and intestinal fluids. This enhanced dissolution in simulated intestinal fluid is likely attributed to the better solubility of BH after incorporating in SMEDDS under neutral or alkaline pH conditions compared to acidic pH, facilitating effective dissolution and promoting BH dissolution.



Figure 6. The dissolution curves of the BH-SMEDDS and commercial tablets in (**A**) the simulated gastric fluid (pH = 1.2, n = 3), and (**B**) the simulated intestinal fluid (pH = 6.8, n = 3).

Additionally, BH-SMEDDS and commercial BH tablets containing 5 mg of BH were used for the dissolution study. Given that BH had a solubility of 162 μ g/mL at a pH of 1.2, a release medium volume of 300 mL was used, which was more than three times the required volume (92.6 mL) for maintaining sink conditions in the in vitro dissolution experiment. Likewise, with the solubility of BH of 2.78 mg/mL at a pH of 6.8, the volume of release medium also met the sink condition requirements for the in vitro dissolution study.

3.8. Cytotoxicity Studies of BH-SMEDDS

Owing to the elevated concentration of surfactants utilized in SMEDDS, in vitro cell toxicity has been reported in many published studies [28,29]. Hence, it is imperative to assess the cytotoxicity of both blank SMEDDS and BH-SMEDDS. As shown in Figure 7, neither blank SMEDDS nor BH-SMEDDS demonstrated notable cytotoxicity within the dosage range of 50 to $600 \mu g/mL$, as determined by the CCK-8 assay. This suggests that the formulated microemulsion exhibits minimal cytotoxic effects on the Caco-2 cells. Furthermore, the cell viability (%) for both blank SMEDDS and BH-SMEDDS remained above 95%. Therefore, BH-SMEDDS demonstrates substantial safety with minimal impact on the gastrointestinal membrane, making it a promising formulation for potential clinical application.



Figure 7. The cytotoxicity of blank SMEDDS and BH-SMEDDS at the concentration of 50 to 600 μ g/mL (mean \pm SD, n = 3).

3.9. In Vivo Pharmacokinetic Study of BH-SMEDDS

The plasma concentration-time profiles of BH following oral administration of BH-SMEDDS and the reference preparation are depicted in Figure 8, and the corresponding pharmacokinetic parameters are summarized in Table 4. As illustrated in the figure, the control group (commercial tablets) reached the maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) of 0.55 \pm 0.06 μ g/mL at 2 h after oral administration. In contrast, the BH-SMEDDS group achieved a C_{max} 209.1% significantly higher than the BH tablets, reaching $1.15 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ at the same time point (p < 0.05). Moreover, the area under the curve $(AUC_{0-\infty})$ value for BH-SMEDDS was 5.116 \pm 0.829 µg·h/mL, which was 1.63-fold greater than that of the commercial tablets $(3.138 \pm 0.263 \,\mu g \cdot h/mL)$ with p values less than 0.01. The significantly elevated C_{max} , faster rate of reaching C_{max} , and notably increased AUC_{0-∞} value collectively suggest the enhanced absorption of BH with BH-SMEDDS. In terms of elimination half-time $t_{1/2}$, BH-SMEDDS exhibited prolonged elimination compared to the commercial tablets, with $t_{1/2}$ increased from 5.021 \pm 0.614 to 9.347 \pm 0.532 h. The relative bioavailability of the BH-SMEDDS to the marketed preparation was 163.03%. In previous studies on BH nanoemulsion, the C_{max} of BH nanoemulsion was 1.56-fold higher than that of control group (BH suspension) with the highest concentration reached at 4.7 h after administration [11]. In comparison, BH-SMEDDS demonstrated a 2.09-fold higher C_{max} within 2 h, indicating faster oral absorption and onset of action. This may be due to the enhanced dissolution of BH-SMEDDS in the GI tract.



Figure 8. Plasma concentration–time profiles of BH after oral administration of the BH-SMEDDS and commercial tablets in rats at a dose of 100 mg/kg (mean \pm SD, n = 6).

Table 4. Pharmacokinetic parameters after oral administration of the BH-SMEDDS and commercial tablets in rats (n = 6).

Parameters	Commercial Tablets	BH SMEDDS
C_{max} (µg/mL)	0.55 ± 0.06	1.15 ± 0.05 *
T _{max} (h)	2.000 ± 0.000	2.000 ± 0.000
t _{1/2} (h)	5.021 ± 0.614	9.347 ± 0.532
AUC_{0-t} (µg/mL·h)	2.278 ± 0.282	3.114 ± 0.247 *
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (µg/mL·h)	3.138 ± 0.263	5.116 ± 0.829 **
Relative bioavailability (%)	-	163.03

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 versus the commercial tablets.

In conclusion, BH-SMEDDS could effectively improve the oral absorption and bioavailability of BH after administration; thus, BH-SMEDDS would provide great potential for the drug applications in clinical settings. BH is a BCS class III drug, characterized by high solubility and low permeability [30]. Its solubility is highly pH-dependent, and free BH tends to precipitate and aggregate in the acidic environment of the gastrointestinal tract, leading to poor solubility and limited absorption. The low permeability of BH is attributed to its low $P_{o/w}$ values and lipophilicity. Thus, enhancing BH solubility and permeability is crucial for improving its bioavailability. In pharmacokinetic studies, as the circulating blood volume of SD rats typically ranges from 15 to 20 mL, the blood sample volume withdrawn at each time point in this study is 300–500 µL, amounting to 15–20% of the total circulating blood volume within 24 hours. This approach aligns with the published articles and guidelines for blood collection in laboratory animals [31–33]. However, withdrawing large volumes of blood exceeding 25% of circulating blood volume can lead to pharmacokinetic alterations and may influence the calculation of the drug's half-life. Although replenishing blood volume after sampling can partially mitigate excessive blood loss, it cannot entirely eliminate the impact of substantial blood loss.

In this study, BH-SMEDDS was developed using oil phase, surfactant, and co-surfactant. The surfactant and co-surfactant reduce the interfacial surface tension, improving membrane permeability, and facilitating absorption through the membrane. Additionally, BH was incorporated into the oil phase of SMEDDS, forming fine oil-in-water droplets (<50 nm). The increased surface area and smaller droplets enhance drug transportation across the gastrointestinal membrane, significantly improving absorption. The enhanced permeability, increased drug transportation, and improved solubility of BH as observed in in vitro dissolution studies, collectively contribute to the superior oral bioavailability of our BH-SMEDDS formulation. In the future, preclinical studies including pharmacodynamics research, investigation of the absorption mechanism of BH-SMEDDS, and toxicology studies (such as acute toxicity testing) will be necessary to better understand the potential of BH-SMEDDS. Following these preclinical evaluations, clinical trials involving humans can then be conducted.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the BH-SMEDDS formulation containing Capmul MCM, Kolliphor RH 40, and 1,2-propanediol was successfully developed to enhance the oral delivery of BH in rats. The characterization results indicated that BH-SMEDDS formed stable and uniform spheres with a narrow droplet size distribution. The in vitro release studies demonstrated a significant improvement in BH dissolution, particularly in simulated intestinal fluid. Moreover, in vitro cytotoxicity assessments showed that BH-SMEDDS was safe, exerting minimal impact on the gastrointestinal membrane. In addition, the in vivo pharmacokinetic investigations revealed an enhanced bioavailability of BH-SMEDDS compared to commercial tablets. Overall, our findings suggest that SMEDDS could represent a promising formulation for improving oral absorption and bioavailability of the BCS class III drug, BH. This may pave the way for future clinical research and applications of BH.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pharmaceutics16091116/s1, Figure S1: HPLC chromatograms of blank plasma (A); blank plasma sample with palmatine chloride (B); blank plasma sample with BH (C); blank plasma sample with BH and palmatine chloride (D). Concentration of BH and palmatine chloride was 0. 5 μ g/mL and 0.2 μ g/mL; Figure S2: Standard curve of BH in rat plasma; Figure S3: (A) Limit of detection (LOD) and (B) limit of quantitation (LOQ) (10 ng/mL and 50 ng/mL); Figure S4: (A) The cytotoxicity of blank SMEDDS and BH-SMEDDS after 48 h incubation, (B) The cytotoxicity of 10 % DMSO after 24 h and 48 h incubation; Table S1: The precision of BH determination in pharmacokinetic studies (n = 3); Table S2: The recovery of BH determination in pharmacokinetic studies (n = 3).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, X.C., H.Y. and Y.Z.; methodology, X.C., L.S. and Y.T.; formal analysis, X.C., H.Y., Y.M., L.N., L.S., J.J., J.W. (Jingxuan Wang), J.X. and C.Z.; investigation, X.C., H.Y., J.W. (Jing Wang), H.C., J.J., J.W. (Jingxuan Wang), J.X. and Y.T.; validation: L.S., Y.M., L.N., J.W. (Jing Wang) and H.C.; writing—original draft, X.C. and H.Y.; writing—review and editing, Y.S.,

C.Z., Y.T. and Y.Z.; supervision, C.Z., Y.T. and Y.Z.; funding acquisition, X.C., Y.S. and Y.Z.; project administration, X.C., H.Y., Y.T. and Y.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research is supported by the Major Project of Basic Science (Natural Science) research in the colleges and universities of Jiangsu Province (23KJA360001), Project of Qinglan Engineering in Jiangsu Province, Tibet Autonomous Region Science and Technology Department Project (No. XZ202101YD0001C), the Students Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program of Vocational College in Jiangsu Province (G-2023-0726), the Taizhou Science and Technology Supporting Agriculture Project (TN202218), and the Jiangsu Agri-animal Husbandry Vocational College Project (NSF2022ZR05).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The animal study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of China Pharmaceutical University (20190310).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in this study.

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Caliceti, C.; Franco, P.; Spinozzi, S.; Roda, A.; Cicero, A.F.G. Berberine: New Insights from Pharmacological Aspects to Clinical Evidences in the Management of Metabolic Disorders. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *23*, 1460–1476. [CrossRef]
- 2. Mirhadi, E.; Rezaee, M.; Malaekeh-Nikouei, B. Nano strategies for berberine delivery, a natural alkaloid of Berberis. *Biomed. Pharmacother. Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2018**, *104*, 465–473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Zhou, J.; Dai, Y.; Fu, J.; Yan, C.; Yu, D.; Yi, T. Dual-step controlled release of berberine hydrochloride from the trans-scale hybrids of nanofibers and microparticles. *Biomolecules* **2023**, *13*, 1011. [CrossRef]
- 4. Amritpal, S.; Sanjiv, D.; Navpreet, K.; Jaswinder, S. Berberine: Alkaloid with wide spectrum of pharmacological activities. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2010**, *3*, 64–75.
- Chen, Y.; Li, Q.; Zhao, S.; Sun, L.; Yin, Z.; Wang, X.; Li, X.; Iwakiri, Y.; Han, J.; Duan, Y. Berberine protects mice against type 2 diabetes by promoting PPARγ-FGF21-GLUT2-regulated insulin sensitivity and glucose/lipid homeostasis. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2023, 218, 115928. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Letašiová, S.; Jantová, S.; Čipák, L.; Múčková, M. Berberine—Antiproliferative activity in vitro and induction of apoptosis/necrosis of the U937 and B16 cells. *Cancer Lett.* 2006, 239, 254–262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tang, Z.; Luo, J.; Faqir, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Xue, W.; Zhao, H.; Jakhar, A.M.; Tan, C.; Ma, J. Berberine hydrochloride-loaded dung beetle chitosan/sodium alginate microspheres ameliorate DSS-induced colitis and regulate gut microorganisms in mice. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2024, 255, 128219. [CrossRef]
- 8. Wu, M.; Wang, J.; Liu, L. Advance of studies on anti-atherosclerosis mechanism of berberine. *Chin. J. Integr. Med.* 2010, 16, 188–192. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wen, S.Q.; Jeyakkumar, P.; Avula, S.R.; Zhang, L.; Zhou, C.H. Discovery of novel berberine imidazoles as safe antimicrobial agents by down regulating ROS generation. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *26*, 2768–2773. [CrossRef]
- 10. Marques, C.; Fernandes, M.H.; Lima, S.A.C. Elucidating berberine's therapeutic and photosensitizer potential through nanomedicine tools. *Pharmaceutics* **2023**, *15*, 2282. [CrossRef]
- 11. Li, Y.; Hu, X.; Lu, X.; Liao, D.; Tang, T.; Wu, J.; Xiang, D. Nanoemulsion-based delivery system for enhanced oral bioavailability and caco-2 cell monolayers permeability of berberine hydrochloride. *Drug Deliv.* **2017**, *24*, 1868–1873. [CrossRef]
- Xiong, W.; Sang, W.; Linghu, K.G.; Zhong, Z.F.; Cheang, W.S.; Li, J.; Hu, Y.J.; Yu, H.; Wang, Y.T. Dual-functional Brij-S20-modified nanocrystal formulation enhances the intestinal transport and oral bioavailability of berberine. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2018, 13, 3781–3793. [CrossRef]
- 13. Makeiff, D.A.; Smith, B.; Azyat, K.; Xia, M.; Alam, S.B. Development of Gelled-Oil Nanoparticles for the Encapsulation and Release of Berberine. *ACS Omega* 2023, *8*, 33774–33784. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cao, X.; Zhu, Q.; Wang, Q.; Adu-Frimpong, M.; Wei, C.; Weng, W.; Bao, R.; Wang, Y.; Yu, J.; Xu, X.M. Improvement of oral bioavailability and anti-tumor effect of zingerone self-microemulsion drug delivery system. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 2021, 110, 2718–2727. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xin, J.; Qin, M.; Ye, G.; Gong, H.; Li, M.; Sui, X.; Liu, B.; Fu, Q.; He, Z. Hydrophobic ion pairing-based self-emulsifying drug delivery systems: A new strategy for improving the therapeutic efficacy of water-soluble drugs. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 2023, 20, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Silberstein, S.; Spierings, E.L.H.; Kunkel, T. Celecoxib oral solution and the benefits of self-microemulsifying drug delivery systems (SMEDDS) technology: A narrative review. *Pain Ther.* **2023**, *12*, 1109–1119. [CrossRef]

- 17. Buya, A.B.; Beloqui, A.; Memvanga, P.B.; Préat, V. Self-nano-emulsifying drug-delivery systems: From the development to the current applications and challenges in oral drug delivery. *Pharmaceutics* **2020**, *12*, 1194. [CrossRef]
- Sarciaux, J.M.; Acar, L.; Sado, P.A. Using microemulsion formulations for oral drug delivery of therapeutic peptides. *Int. J. Pharm.* 1995, 120, 127–136. [CrossRef]
- 19. Yadav, K.S.; Soni, G.; Choudhary, D.; Khanduri, A.; Bhandari, A.; Joshi, G. Microemulsions for enhancing drug delivery of hydrophilic drugs: Exploring various routes of administration. *Med. Drug Discov.* **2023**, *20*, 100162. [CrossRef]
- 20. Zhao, Y.; Wang, C.; Chow, A.H.L.; Ren, K.; Gong, T.; Zhang, Z.; Zheng, Y. Self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery system (SNEDDS) for oral delivery of Zedoary essential oil: Formulation and bioavailability studies. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2010**, *383*, 170–177. [CrossRef]
- Kim, Y.; Kim, S.; Choi, S.; Nguyen, T.; Ahn, S.; Moon, K.; Cho, K.; Sim, T.; Heo, E.; Kim, S.T.; et al. Development and evaluation of self-microemulsifying drug delivery system for improving oral absorption of poorly water-soluble olaparib. *Pharmaceutics* 2023, 15, 1669. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Tenney, K.; Hayes, J.E.; Bakke, A.J.; Elias, R.J.; Coupland, J.N. Partitioning of caffeine and quinine in oil-in-water emulsions and effects on bitterness. *J. Food Sci.* 2023, *88*, 122–129.
- Kassaee, S.N.; Mahboobian, M.M. Besifloxacin-loaded ocular nanoemulsions: Design, formulation and efficacy evaluation. *Drug Deliv. Transl. Res.* 2022, 12, 229–239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Gong, Y.; Liu, L.; Li, J.; Cao, Y. The presence of palmitate affected the colloidal stability of ZnO NPs but not the toxicity to Caco-2 cells. *J. Nanopart. Res.* 2017, 19, 335. [CrossRef]
- Saberi, A.H.; Fang, Y.; McClements, D.J. Formation of thermally reversible optically transparent emulsion-based delivery systems using spontaneous emulsification. *Soft Matter.* 2015, 11, 9321–9329. [CrossRef]
- Khoo, S.; Humberstone, A.J.; Porter, C.J.H.; Edwards, G.A.; Charman, W.N. Formulation design and bioavailability assessment of lipidic self-emulsifying formulations of halofantrine. *Int. J. Pharm.* 1998, 167, 155–164. [CrossRef]
- Mokale, V.; Naik, S.; Khatal, T.; Sonawane, S.; Potoroko, I. 13—Formulation development and in vitro multimedia drug release study of solid self-microemulsifying drug delivery system of ketoconazole for enhanced solubility and pH-independent dissolution profile. In *Encapsulation of Active Molecules and Their Delivery System*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 211–231.
- 28. Chen, Y.; Chen, C.; Zheng, J.; Chen, Z.; Shi, Q.; Liu, H. Development of a solid supersaturatable self-emulsifying drug delivery system of docetaxel with improved dissolution and bioavailability. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2011, 34, 278–286. [CrossRef]
- Paliwal, H.; Nakpheng, T.; Paul, P.K.; Ananth, K.P.; Srichana, T. Development of a self-microemulsifying drug delivery system to deliver delamanid via a pressurized metered dose inhaler for treatment of multi-drug resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2024, 655, 124031. [CrossRef]
- Kulkarni, S.; Prabhakar, B.; Shende, P. Nanodiamond-based berberine aquasomes for enhancing penetration across epidermis to treat psoriasis. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2024, 656, 124051. [CrossRef]
- National Research Council. Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 8th ed.; National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2011.
- Zhang, X.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, M.; Mao, J.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Yao, J.; Xu, C.; Guo, W.; Yu, B. Sodium cholate-enhanced polymeric micelle system for tumor-targeting delivery of paclitaxel. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2017, 12, 8779–8799. [CrossRef]
- 33. Mishra, B.J.; Kaul, A.; Trivedi, P. L-Cysteine conjugated poly L-lactide nanoparticles containing 5-fluorouracil: Formulation, characterization, release and uptake by tissues in vivo. *Drug Deliv.* **2015**, *22*, 214–222. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.